## A ladder of stakeholder management and engagement (Friedman and Miles 2006:162)

		Stakeholder management tool ands nature of response	Intention of engagement	Level of influence	Style of dialogue and associated examples
Degrees of stakeholder power	Proactive or responsive/ trusting	12. Stakeholder control	Majority representation of stakeholders in decision-making process	Forming or agreeing to decisions	Multi-way dialogue e.g. community projects
		11. Delegated power	Minority representation of stakeholders in decision-making process		Multi-way dialogue e.g. board representation
		10. Partnership	Joint decision- making power over specific projects		Multi-way dialogue e.g. joint ventures
Degrees of involvement		9. Collaboration	Some decision- making power afforded to stakeholders over specific projects		Multi-way dialogue e.g. strategic alliances
		8.Involvement	Stakeholders provide conditional support; if conditions are not met support	Having an influence on decisions	Multi-way dialogue e.g. constructive dialogue
Degrees of tokenism	Responsive/neut ral	7. Negotiation	is removed. The organisation decides the extent of the conformity		Multi-way dialogue e.g. reactive bargaining
		6. Consultation	Organisation has the right to decide. Stakeholders can advise. Appease the stakeholder		Two-way dialogue e.g. questionnaires, interviews, focus groups, task forces, advisory panels before a decision
		5. Placation	Stakeholders can hear and be heard but have no assurance of being heeded by the organisation	before a	
		4. Explaining	Educate	Two-way dialogue e.g. workshops	
Non- participation	Autocratic/ cynical	3. Informing	stakeholders	Knowledge about decisions	One-way dialogue e.g. verified corporate reports
		2. Therapy	'Cure' stakeholders of their ignorance and preconceived beliefs		One-way dialogue, e.g. briefing sessions, leaflets, magazines, newsletters, corporate reports other publications
		1. Manipulation	'Misleading' stakeholders, attempting to change stakeholder expectations		